



Columbia City Council Meeting Recap
Council Chamber, Columbia City Hall
7:00 PM
Monday, November 21, 2016

INTRODUCTORY ITEMS

Pledge of Allegiance	(X)
Roll Call	(All present.)
Approval of Previous Minutes	(Minutes from November 7, 2016 approved.)
Adjustment of Agenda	(Items B313-16 and B316-16 moved to Old Business by Nausser and Thomas)

SPECIAL ITEMS

SI19-16 Resolution of Appreciation - Tony St. Romaine, Deputy City Manager.
(Action: Resolution in honor of St. Romaine's service presented. Many jovial and complimentary remarks made by Council Members regarding St. Romaine's service. St. Romaine made gracious remarks toward Council and city.)

APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS
(None.)

SCHEDULED PUBLIC COMMENT

SPC65-16 John T. Conway, PE - Where does all that money go!
(Action: Presented as indicated. Presented a handout from the Water and Light Advisory Board. Apparently highlighted some items on City Water & Light budget and asked for those items to be discussed moving forward. Believed that there could be some need for rate changes and referred to some capital improvement projects without identifying any specific projects initially. General report of work of Advisory Commission. Also, gave thanks to Council for getting Scott Boulevard completed.

SPC66-16 Tara Warne-Griggs - Speaking about the recommendations in the Mayor's Task Force on Community Violence final report.
(Action: Presented as indicated on behalf of Race Matters-Friends. Cited a spreadsheet that is a draft document. Referenced ongoing discussions with police. Five recommendations are contained in initial draft. Next steps include convening group that will examine strategies since there are some gaps between community and police staff. Believes that existing documents lack sufficient depth.

SPC67-16 Pat Kelley - Update on Columbia Community New Year's Day event.

(Action: Presented as indicated. Indicated that there has been great support for a New Year's celebration in place of First Night. Outlined some events that will occur. Thanked everyone for general support.)

PUBLIC HEARINGS
(None.)

OLD BUSINESS

B262-16A Amending Chapter 24 of the City Code to establish a public inconvenience fee for extended temporary occupancy of public spaces.

(Tabled at the October 17, 2016 Council Meeting.)

(Item considered as proposed in following amended version.)

B262-16A - [Ordinance as Amended](#) (This link shows what was proposed before further action occurred.)

(Action: Staff report presented. Recommendations from Downtown Leadership Council were presented. Staff had recommended reductions in fees. DLC recommended that regulation should be limited to downtown and that there could be reductions in fees if accomplished within 30 days, that special events could be exempted, that there should be additional notification for closures.

Nauser asked if such a program actually speeds up construction times. City Manager indicated that projects were accomplished in 30 to 50% less time in Kansas City. Nauser asked who are we targeting this toward? Staff indicated that intent is to allow more citizen access for public right-of-ways. Nauser asked if this was to benefit the public why is the government getting the money in general fund.?

Trapp asked about installation of public infrastructure. How will that be treated. In Miami, that was made clear. What if a private contractor is doing work on behalf of a city? How is that treated? Legal indicated that if a private contractor is doing something with public infrastructure that only benefits them, then you MIGHT get an exemption, but if it is public initiated, they get a pass. Nauser followed up and asked if general infrastructure improvements should be considered. Legal staff indicated that it should be considered on a but-for case.

Mayor indicated that anytime any assets are taken out of service, there should be a payment, regardless of the work being done. Skala asked where the money will go? Suggested that it should be dedicated to the areas that are being impacted by infrastructure impacts.

Thomas asked for more examples of fee structures.

Public hearing was opened.

Speaker indicated that he believed that fees were not in scale since they were being based on Miami and not Kansas City. Please reconsider double charging for bag fee and lane closure. Wanted to limit insurance coverage to typical coverages and if any extra was required it should be demonstrated. Also, asked for exemptions for projects that upgrade city infrastructure and that appropriate credits be made. Mayor questioned speaker and indicated that larger cities have more alternatives during closures. Speaker indicated that they are moving more people regardless. Speaker indicated that some small businesses were afraid of the new fees even when they were doing improvements. Speaker also indicated that some retail people who lose business had discussed this and that most remained open.

Skala indicated that Miami has a robust transit system that offsets vehicular traffic impact. Speaker indicated that fees in Kansas City were 1/15 of Miami and that we should apply a reasonable amount of fee.

Next speaker indicated that he believed that private developers should be allowed to build public infrastructure without penalty the same way that Miami allows. Showed specific projects and showed how private development actually installs sanitary and storm sewer at their own cost. Should not be penalized since it serves more than one user. Showed Elm, 6th Street, etc. projects.

Speaker indicated that a public hearing was held by the DLC. Discussed more details. That group that this idea is meant to keep sidewalks and streets open and that is its intent. Would prefer that routes be left open and ADA accessible and only then should you be able to close any sidewalk. Believed that advance-notice should be given in excess of current rule, from 3 to 14 days. And 21 days for closures over 30 days. Does not support reductions for sidewalks that are opened at night. Did not want to focus on Miami, but would like to model fees on their rates and believed that these fees are reasonable. Believed that these fees should be high enough to make them be incentives, rather than just revenue. Wanted to earmark funds for promotion of downtown and downtown infrastructure projects, although DLC did not take any formal action. Also, wanted to add alleys to this list for closure. Mayor asked 21-day notice for projects that will be 30 days or more. Mayor asked if that would be added to regular time frame of council consideration. Nauser asked if speaker believed that there was not already some incentive for a builder to move quickly because of regular cost. Speaker indicated he believed that increasing the cost by 10% could increase speed by 30 to 50%. Nauser asked why the improvements to Scott Boulevard that inconvenienced the city were different than closures by private developers. DLC thought there should be no exceptions for small or big business or any other non-profit groups, governments, etc.

Thomas asked that same speaker where the relative rates fall. Compared Kansas City to Miami and noted that fees are widely differing. Not fair to compare us to Kansas City just because we are in the same state. Charging \$6.00 per day for closure of parking is about right, and we don't think the bag fee is double dipping.

Next speaker indicated that she sympathized with the closures downtown, but thought that the Business Loop should not be held to the same rule and believed that they could accommodate drivers and pedestrians better. Wants to attract development on Business Loop so they want to limit the rule to downtown.

Speaker supported the report of the DLC and also believes that these task forces should be presenting the full report so people could ask questions. Believed that offsets need to be included. Also, need to clarify who owns sidewalks. Is using a sidewalk a rental of city property or is it the responsibility of the property owner? The goal should be to minimize inconvenience and should view this as a rental issue and determine a fair rental value. If it interferes with convenience and safety, that should be a good goal, but take more time to really study this.

Speaker believes that these fees are excessive and that no one knows where the fees will be used since no one has indicated that. We have seen it before. We have seen how fees serve as a disincentive to development. Also, thinks it may incentivize less safe practices that could lead to more injury. Believed that city would make more money off of development than it would from fees. Wanted to provide night time permits to minimize downtown disruption during the day.

Public hearing closed.

Mayor began discussion by indicating that downtown businesses have said developers automatically think they can use those roads and sidewalks downtown without thinking about alternatives. Mayor indicated that charging for both hoods and taking parking out of service is not double charging. Thomas disagreed with that assessment. Additional council discussion indicated that there was confusion on this point. Thomas supported the general concept, but did not want to double charge. Skala suggested that whatever revenue came in should be split or specifically dedicated. Staff indicated that bag fees are already dedicated.

Nauser indicated that when developers put in public infrastructure, regardless of its impetus, it should get an offset since it accrues to the benefit of the city as a whole. ACC is a good example. They made a public improvement, even if they got some benefit. Trapp asked for exception for "any installation of public infrastructure". City attorney suggested alternative language. Mayor indicated that he did not want to create a loophole that lets part of the project be the reason for closure. Skala says it is difficult to deny that there can be public improvements. Peters wanted to know how you determine what is the public good. Mayor echoed that sentiment. Is fee only assessed during the time they build public stuff or are they exempt. Staff indicated that they will need to monitor. Staff indicated that the developer would be asked to show such improvement plans up front.

Amendment passed to allow exemption for public improvements.

Nauser suggested that funds collected be earmarked for sidewalks in downtown and for roads in downtown without being able to switch funds around or wherever roads and sidewalks are closed. Mayor agrees that there should be a direct nexus between what was closed and who gets the money. Why not create a Public Inconvenience Fee fund and don't mess with splitting it up tonight? **Motion to allocate funds from new fees to be allocated toward same use improvement. Amendment approved.**

Amendment to give notice to affected property owners of three days notice for one week or less, 14 days for a closure of up to a month and 21 days notice for longer with the right to protest by the directly affected property owner. Motion agreed to. Legal staff indicated that another technical amendment was required to have posting and extension of days, notice and council notification as well as full disclosure for any council action. Motion to make additional amendment agreed to.

Mayor asked about 70% fee reduction and wanted to delete the reduction from the rule. **Motion passed.**

Question regarding insurance requirements. Legal indicated that insurance coverage should be reasonable and ordinary and that it could be handled by this statement and should provide ordinary coverage. **Motion made and agreed to.**

Discussion continued. Does this apply to alleys? Mayor indicates that it applies at 2 cents if it is just an alley. If it is really a sidewalk, it should be higher. **Motion to charge alley or sidewalk at 20 cents rather than exempting out alleys at 2 cents and allow for exemption of sidewalks that are covered.**

Motion to limit the imposition of this rule to downtown. Indicated that you cannot apply this to M-DT since that has not been created yet. Why not apply it to the DLC boundaries? Mayor says it should apply to entire town. Thomas indicates it is easier to avoid problems in more sparse areas. If downtown is

being compared to Miami because of density, we shouldn't value them the same somewhere else. Thomas indicates it should be done later. Peters asked about fraternities on Providence? Trapp indicated that he would ask to exempt Business Loop. **Motion is to restrict this to downtown only. Defeated. New motion to exempt Business Loop from this rule if it is applied to entire city in general made by Trapp. Mayor opposed amendment. Amendment failed.**

Motion on whole proposal. Proposal passed 6 to 1 with Nausser voting No. Inconvenience fee has passed city-wide with rates as indicated in proposal.

Fee structure is as follows:

Sec. 24-43. Fee.

(a) Application fee. An application for a permit hereunder shall be accompanied by an application a fee of fifty dollars (\$50.00).

(b) Public inconvenience fee. For public street, sidewalk or alley closures exceeding seven (7) calendar days, the following additional fees will be required:

Sidewalk/curb usage \$0.20 per linear foot per day (unless the walkway is covered and remains open to public use)

Parking lane usage..... \$0.30 per linear foot per day (in addition to lost revenue for daily parking fee due and owing to the parking utility)

Traffic lane usage..... \$0.35 per linear foot per day per each lane of traffic

Alley closure was amended from the \$0.02 to the \$0.20 sidewalk fee.

B314-16 - Authorizing Amendment No. 7 to the general cooperative agreement with the Boone County Regional Sewer District relating to sewer service to property within Arrowhead Lake Estates - University Estates and located on the west side of South Arrowhead Lake Drive and the payment of special and regular fees for sewer connection to the Cascades Pump Station.

Recommended Action: [2nd Read & Vote/Mayor Memo]

(Action: Staff report indicates that this area is outside of electrical and sewer service areas but is within the Columbia service area of joint agreements but could be served and is exempt from annexation according to an earlier agreement. This request asks that area 2 be subject to the same rule as area 1. Staff indicates that this makes sense from a service point of view. No previous exceptions to annexation requirement have ever been made for undeveloped property. This is undeveloped property. There are only 13 to 15 lot capacity left in Cascade pump station so additional capacity may be needed.

Thomas asked why the city requires annexation before provision of service. City Manager indicated it is kind of a freeloader protection issue and it prevents leapfrogging development and sprawl. Thomas indicated that it actually may encourage sprawl by continuing to expand our limits. Thomas asked if we could charge a higher rate? City Manager indicated that people who are served by city outside of the boundaries already pay more for service.

Staff indicated that this is different than Henderson Branch since the sewer already exists, although it is the sewer district's sewer, not the city's, but it all feeds into the same place. We are the wholesaler – they are the retailer. End user gets similar service. Urban service area is another question. Sometimes existing service is located both within and without the technical urban service area line.

Speaker indicated that to prohibit intense development in the area, his family purchased this property, and rather than 110 homes there will be just 4, but it needs to be served by sewer. Part of the area is

already served, an exemption was granted, and the same exemption is requested. It is simply an extension of the earlier waiver that was granted. The vision is that this uses existing services best rather than duplication or extension of new services. Skala asked if it negatively impacted sewer utility in any way. Speaker indicated that it would not. Also, indicated that excess land had no plan at this time.

Speaker indicated that a Boone County sewer exists right now across this property and that it sets no unusual precedent. Location, service level of development and common sense dictates approval.

Speaker supported this idea to prevent piecemeal development.

Speaker supported the proposal and indicated that the homeowner's association supported this proposal and sold land to the applicant to enable this idea.

Speaker spoke on behalf of the regional sewer service and indicated that service already exists to the area and that this property should be included. Protection of health is always the highest priority and should be served by the logical service without additional sewer extensions. Objected to the term free loader that was used earlier.

Public hearing was closed.

Nauser spoke in favor of the proposal and indicated that it was a sensible approach to make it a contiguous part of Arrowhead Lake Estates. Skala indicated he believes that this is a good case for an exception to the general rule considering all the elements. Indicated he would not be a fortune teller to try and figure out what the rest of the property will be used for, but that this request is OK.

Trapp indicated that he does NOT favor this, that it allows people to build outside the general good governance and good infrastructure rules that would also come with this. If you want to develop, you do it in the city and follow all the rules, not just some of them. We want a well-built city built for permanence. Do not facilitate large lot development that does not pay for all other services that we provide. We build nice roads and we give up fireworks – and that's the way it should be and we hold the line.

Thomas thinks we should clean up the lines of the Urban Service Area. Believes that this whole area should be in the city, but since it is not and it has already been exempted and it already has service, we should be fair. Somehow our current agreements don't quite work right. Just annexing doesn't solve the problem and maybe we could just charge people more to use our service without promising the extension of other services. **Motion to approve was made.**

Motion passed 6 to 1.

B315-16 Authorizing a power purchase agreement with Crystal Lake Wind III, LLC for the purchase of wind energy.

Recommended Action: [2nd Read & Vote/Mayor Memo]

(Action: Staff report indicated that this was a clean line energy source and that the pricing of this service would further the goals of clean energy and that subject to all permits it would be reasonable. In response to questions staff indicated that there could be a rate impact, but that it might be cheaper than producing our own energy, but that there were some variables that could not be substantiated at this time.

Skala indicated he thought it would be a good idea to diversify our portfolio of energy services. Staff thought there could be some cheaper sources for energy in the future, but that this helped meet renewable sources mandate and that storage of power from the source was more limited.

Speaker indicated that she supported adding this additional source of power and that she also supported roof-top solar and other renewables. Submitted a resolution supporting renewable energy.

Speaker supported trying to get to the renewable energy goal. Wondered about nuclear energy and if it would be used.

Public hearing closed.

Nauser believes wind energy generation is a scourge on the planet. Kills birds. Looks terrible. Does not think the technology is in place to protect migratory birds. Will vote no. Skala indicated that he thinks the technology IS there, and that there may be some drawbacks, but that he supports renewables and will support this idea.

Motion passed 6 to 1 with Nauser voting no.)

B321-16 Amending Chapter 13 of the City Code as it relates to pawnbrokers.

Recommended Action: [2nd Read & Vote/Mayor Memo]

(Action: Staff report that this idea has been studied after earlier being tabled and delayed.

Trapp defended proposal and explained that this proposal protects against immediate meltdown of jewelry, exempting clothing, creating an easier interface for investigation and protection of consumers and support of public safety. Also, indicated that waiting periods are lengthier in other communities.

Mayor asked if there is a pawn software that could be utilized and that that technology does exist.

Nauser asked how many items were stolen and fenced each year. Staff provided burglary statistics, but could not say how many items are stolen and fenced each year. Asked why video camera tapes are not sufficient even though they have photo IDs. Isn't this a little too much? Staff indicated that people change appearances all the time so redundancy is needed.

Mayor asked about selling an old vinyl album. They are not exempt and there would be a waiting period. Second hand clothing stores would be exempt.

Public hearing opened.

Speaker indicated that they handled approximately 40,000 items in a year. Pointed out that there is no indication that there will be prosecution, so why jump through all these hoops for nothing? Indicated that they run a pawn shop and they turn in information all the time, and that there is a lag time already in how these kinds of things are processed. The information is already there – but it is not a priority and should not be implemented. Indicated that impact would be the catalog and photo of all items and people.

Skala asked if this could be restricted to jewelry, guns and some of those kinds of items, could you live with it? Speaker indicated it would be better, but he couldn't estimate the cost. Said you should defeat it, but if not, at least include all the other people who resale stuff.

Speaker indicated that he thought tools and sporting goods are ill-defined and indicated that his store has none of the technology to do this and that some of his regular customers who are regulars do not want to be photographed. Indicated that no cops have ever been to his store to look for stuff, so why is he being asked to start being the cop now.

Speaker indicated his opposition to this proposal. Believes poor people find this to be a reasonable place to both buy and sell items. This could only be 30 or 40 cases out of 40,000 so let this go. It is not a priority. Why not focus on drug issues with dogs and get something done?

Ruffin asks if there is a system of compliance if we DO pass this? Answer was generally NO. How many prosecutions happen from problems at pawn shops. Nausier knows there are a lot of shoplifters at Wal-Mart, but how many really happen in this scenario?

Trapp wants a clarification and indicated that video documentation would be fine, but that there is a requirement for the photography of jewelry for identification. Thinks that was important. Agreed to make an amendment to strike the words "records and audiotapes" from the rule. Skala indicated he thought there were probably only a few items that really make a difference. Maybe some of this stuff is a real problem – but not mostly. Motion is still on the floor to exempt vinyl records. Motion passed to exempt vinyl records and tapes.

Trapp continued and indicated that there are several places that are flying under the radar and accepting stolen goods and that other cities DO regulate this stuff. Nausier indicated that this is an intrusive rule and that we don't have to take pictures of everything that comes in and every person for no good reason, particularly since we are not going to prosecute them. Let's get some big crimes.

Thomas indicated that he will support the proposal and that he believes the new approach will end in more prosecutions and theft repatriation. Mayor wanted to know if Craig's List sellers would be included other than just brick and mortar pawn shops.

Motion as amended failed 5 to 2.)

B313-16 Amending Chapter 14 of the City Code to change the speed limit on portions of Providence Road and Battle Avenue.

(Action: Moved from Consent Agenda to Old Business.)

(Staff report indicated that average speed between the stadium and Old Plank Road was 50 miles per hour. On Battle Avenue staff recommended reducing speed to 40. Some discussion among council members. Nausier had requested discussion and agreed to staff recommendation.

No public comment. **Motion passed unanimously.)**

B316-16 Accepting Stormwater Management/BMP Facilities Covenants.

(Action: Moved from Consent Agenda to Old Business.)

(Staff report indicated that covenants were included but Thomas did not see those covenants in the package. Wanted to know what they are. Staff indicated that they are recorded agreements that run with the land as it is developed. No public discussion. **Motion passed unanimously.)**

CONSENT AGENDA

(All items remaining on agenda approved as submitted.)

B308-16 Approving the Wyndham Commercial Corner C-P Plan located on the northeast corner of Scott Boulevard and State Route KK (Case No. 16-205).

B309-16 Approving the Final Plat of The Vineyards, Plat No. 3 located at the western terminus of Stone Mountain Parkway; authorizing a performance contract (Case No. 16-128).

B310-16 Approving the Final Plat of Fox Lair, Plat No. 3 located at the western terminus of Fort Sumter Court and west of Buchanan Drive; authorizing a performance contract (Case No. 16-203).

B311-16 Vacating an existing sanitary sewer easement located on the northwest corner of Nifong Boulevard and Santiago Drive (Case No. 16-165).

B312-16 Vacating an unused trail easement that was acquired as part of the Hominy Creek Trail Phase II project.

B313-16 Amending Chapter 14 of the City Code to change the speed limit on portions of Providence Road and Battle Avenue.
(Action: Moved to Old Business at the request of Nauser.)

B316-16 Accepting Stormwater Management/BMP Facilities Covenants.
(Action: Moved to Old Business at the request of Thomas.)

B317-16 Authorizing recreational trails program project agreements and a land and water conservation fund project agreement with the State of Missouri - Department of Natural Resources; appropriating funds.

B318-16 Authorizing a grant agreement with the State of Missouri - Missouri Arts Council for the Parks and Recreation Department Annual Fall Heritage Festival and Crafts Show.

B319-16 Accepting a grant from the 3M Foundation for CoMo Common Ground for a monarch butterfly habitat restoration project; appropriating funds.

B320-16 Appropriating funds for the purchase of City of Columbia flags to have available for resale.

B322-16 Authorizing an agreement with the County of Boone, Missouri for the second assignment of legacy assets related to Public Safety Joint Communications.

B323-16 Authorizing a cooperative agreement with the County of Boone, Missouri for server relocation and disaster recovery services.

B324-16 Amending Chapter 17 of the City Code as it relates to parks and recreation.

R175-16 Setting a public hearing: consider an amendment to the 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan and FY 2016 Annual Action Plan for CDBG and HOME funds; establishing a comment period.

R176-16 Authorizing a contract with the Central Missouri Humane Society for 2017 animal control and municipal shelter services.

R177-16 Authorizing an agreement for professional architectural services with SFS Architecture, Inc. for planning, design and preparation of construction documents for a sports field house at the A. Perry Philips Park.

NEW BUSINESS
(None.)

INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING
(All items introduce with First Reading as indicated.)

PR178-16 Adopting a "Vision Zero" Policy; setting a goal of eliminating traffic deaths

and serious injuries in Columbia by 2030.

B325-16** Approving the CP/OP Plan for Discovery Park Subdivision - Plat 4 located on the west side of Nocona Parkway and south of Ponderosa Street; setting forth a condition for approval (Case No. 16-173).

B326-16* Approving the Final Plat of Discovery Park Subdivision Plat 4 located on the west side of Nocona Parkway and south of Ponderosa Street; authorizing a performance contract (Case No. 16-175).

B327-16* Vacating unused electric utility easements on property located east of Beverly Drive and south of I-70 Drive SW (1900 I-70 Drive SW) (Case No. 17-2).

B328-16* Authorizing a development agreement with Greg and Kelly Deline as it relates to the proposed development of property located on the southwest corner of Highway 763 and Brown School Road (Case No. 17-30).

B329-16* Authorizing a generators sale agreement with Shelter Mutual Insurance Company for the sale of two (2) generators and associated transformers and switchgear.

B330-16* Authorizing a Freight Enhancement Program grant agreement with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission for the purchase of a railcar unloading ramp and development of an automotive loading and unloading facility at the Columbia Terminal Railroad (COLT) transload site.

B331-16* Authorizing a memorandum of understanding with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources relating to development of an integrated management plan for City of Columbia wastewater and stormwater systems; authorizing approval of an Abatement Order On Consent with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

B332-16* Authorizing an agreement with the Columbia Public School District for playground improvement projects at Parkade Elementary School, Fairview Elementary School, Benton Elementary School and Paxton Keeley Elementary School.

B333-16* Authorizing Amendment No. 3 to the program services contract with the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services for the Healthy Eating Active Living in Local Communities program; appropriating funds.

B334-16* Authorizing a program services contract with the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services for child care health consultation.

B335-16* Amending Chapter 18 of the City Code relating to police and fire pension plans.

B336-16* Authorizing renewal of provisions pertaining to the operation of the Columbia Fire Department and conditions of employment of the Columbia Fire Department personnel.

B337-16* Accepting a donation from United HealthCare for wellness promotions and programs for City employees; appropriating funds.

B338-16* Adopting the Choice Plus \$750 Plan, the Choice Plus \$1,500 Plan and the Choice Plus \$2,600 High Deductible Health Plan for the City of Columbia.

REPORTS

REP91-16 Administrative Public Improvement Project: Waters-Moss Memorial Wildlife Area - Waters House Renovations.

(Action: Accepted as submitted.)

REP92-16 Parking Meter Replacements Planned for January 2017.

(Action: Question about App-Only parking. Staff indicated that some of those meters will be swapped out at different times and that as we switch out meters we put them where they generate the most revenue. Just didn't have enough to go around right now. High use meters accept all forms of payment generally. Had problems with old cards that had too much money on them, couldn't give rebates, etc. Cards won't work on some machines, but coins will be integrated. People who have EZ park Cards must swap them out for COMO Park cards on January 1.

Will the ones that have no heads and just poles be able to accept coins? Not immediately according to staff, but right now there are not enough meters, even with the new ones. Marketing staff will be promoting new uses and capabilities starting this month. Council agreed to continue discussion at a later date after more information was available.)

REP93-16 Intra-Departmental Transfer of Funds Request.

(Item accepted as submitted.)

GENERAL COMMENTS BY PUBLIC, COUNCIL AND STAFF

Speaker indicated that she was opposed to parking meter system in terms of equity, cost and that require apps or cell phones to use. Should have all options for all people at all times.

Speaker indicated that renewable energy was a major concern of the People's Visioning Commission and that all elements of energy ties together with politics, race and the universe. Specifically, there are policies at different levels regarding energy generation that affects our water so make sure that we have a habitable environment.

Speaker indicated that he was concerned about the parking meter situation as well. He also wanted to make sure that our water is clean. Indicated that he worked with a Habitat for Humanity volunteer and now needs more people to help. His discussion with another man indicated that other people have told him that no one hears what he says. We need a better option for homeless people which is two meals a day, not meals on two days a week.

COUNCIL COMMENTS

Thomas – 4,300 utility customers get turned off because they can't pay. That is a large number. Would like to see a breakdown of that and would like to see how many repeats, parts of city, etc. This is something we need to talk about. Mentioned that he would like to address public safety ballot issue and would like it to be well-planned and well-supported but it needs to be broad ranging. Supported Room At The End. It is getting cold. This is important.

Trapp – Would like to see Dashboard expanded to all police and community violence issues and reporting.

Nauser – Would like to know if city is in compliance with sign ordinance and how it will apply to all of us.

Skala – Dashboard from Race Matters Friends group is an important tool. Suggested that sign ordinance should be looked at in terms of electronics, moving LED signs, constitutionality, etc. and need a staff

report. Distributed report regarding racial equity and how to maintain inclusion and equity after the results of the most recent election. Brought up the PACE program which is about energy consumption and monitoring. Wanted to bring it up to encourage council to get engaged in program. Wanted to know about status of parking solution for Benton-Stephens neighborhood and feared that it is being lost with all the discussions about overlays and UDO.

ADJOURNMENT
(Meeting adjourned at 11:25 PM.)

©2016 CityWatch-Columbia

(This document may not be reproduced, redistributed or significantly cited in other works without the written permission of the author.)

DO NOT COPY